

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/554,465	10/19/2000	Peter Kufer	147-199P	3425
	2292	7590 03/23/2004		EXAM	INER
	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			CHEU, CHANGHWA J	
	- +	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		1641	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004		4 _
				;	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	······································	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
العياة			, ,				
,	Office Action Summary	09/554,465	KUFER ET AL.				
	omec Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAII INC DATE of this communication	Jacob Cheu	1641	2000			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	E22			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commandate of the commandate of t	· munication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/	<u>10/2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
•	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152 .			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National St	tage			
Attachmer	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intonio	v Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (P10-692) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	708) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/554,465

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-24, and 27-29 drawn to a method of using *polypeptide* product identifying a binding site domain having capacity of binding to a predetermined epitope in a recombinant bi or multivalent polypeptide in a biological display system.

Group II, claims 1-24 and 27-29, drawn to a method of using *antibody* products identifying a binding site domain having capacity of binding to a predetermined epitope in a recombinant bi or multivalent polypeptide in a biological display system.

Group III, claims 1-26, drawn to a method of using *polynucleotides* encoding the said antibodies or polypeptides and cells transfected with the said polynucleotides identifying a binding site domain having capacity of binding to a predetermined epitope in a biological display system.

The invention listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Polypeptides are generally known to be smaller than proteins and also do not possess the structural characteristics of antibodies. Similarly, polynucleotides have the distinct characteristics and properties not sharing by either polypeptides or antibodies. Therefore, there is lack of unity of inventive concept among peptides, antibody and polynucleotides.

Application/Control Number: 09/554,465

Art Unit: 1641

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Page 3

If elect invention of Group I, applicants requires to elect one sequence of polypeptide.

If elect invention of Group II, applicant requires to elect one sequence of antibody.

If elect invention of Group III, applicants needs to elect *one nucleotide* sequence.

Response to Applicant's Argument

- 2. Applicant argues that the US Office should be consistent with the lack unity practice by other International Search Authority, not just by giving "verbal assent to lack of unity by mere reference to the PCT rule, but rather an actual application of the standard." (See Remarks page 2, third paragraph) The examiner agrees, in part. The examiner would like to point out that the previous Office Action requested on Restriction/Election is not a "mere reference to PCT Rule". The examiner had outlined different groups based on the special technical features, and cited the prior art, and the application against the inventive concept of this current application. The practice of this Office handling PCT case should be consistent with the PCT examiner on the same subject matter under same rule. Nevertheless, should inadvertence or misjudging occurred during the International Stage Examination, this Office is not bound to comply with the obvious errors.
- 3. With respect to the argument on the McGuinnes et al. reference (Natural Biotechnology (1996) 14: 1149) The examiner agrees with the applicant's argument that the said reference does not render the instant application not novel. Accordingly, the examiner withdraws the requirement of restriction set forth in the previous Office Action, and regrouped the current invention into Group I, II and III based on the special technical features of the polypeptide, antibody and polynucleotides as discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 00

Art Unit: 1641

4. In response to this Office Action, applicant MUST elect one group with an elected **ONE** species for examination.

- 5. The examiner notices that claims 22 and 24 do not recite proper SEQ ID No. in compliance with the Sequence Rule. Please provide the proper SEQ ID No. for the purposes of examination.
- 6. Applicant's IDS filed on 10/19/2000 is received by this Office on record. However, the IDS is missing. Please submit a new copy of IDS with the response to this Office Action. The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Cheu whose telephone number is 571-282-0814. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacob Cheu

CHHI Ulm

Examiner

Art Unit 1641

Souther

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

03/20/0x

March 20, 2004